

From: [REDACTED]
To: [McDonnell, Kevin](#); [Barnacle, Brian](#); [Cader-Thompson, Janice](#); [Healy, Mike](#); [Karen Nau](#); [Pocekay, Dennis](#); [Shribbs, John](#); -- City Clerk; [Landlord Tenant Protections](#)
Subject: Tenant Ordinance Concerns
Date: Wednesday, April 5, 2023 3:29:54 PM

---Warning: Use caution before clicking any attachments. THIS EMAIL IS FROM OUTSIDE OUR EMAIL SYSTEM.---

Dear Honorable Mayor McDonnell and Council members,

This anti-housing law makes it nearly impossible to maintain rental properties, protect residents, and make necessary improvements to older rental homes.

I am a lifelong Petaluma resident. I own two homes one I live in and one I inherited from my father. We currently rent the second home to tenants who have been residing in our home for 3 years. We had one tenant before this for a 3 year period. We have never raised the rent and I would consider it affordable at \$3,000 per month for a Westside rental, 3 bedroom/2bath, large backyard and includes a landscaper. Our goal is to rent the home until my daughter can purchase from us in the next 3-5 years. I feel we will have provided a rental unit for 10-12 years when we are ready to sell. Our goal is to keep the home in the family and allow our children to purchase again keeping our young generation in Petaluma. Why would we be punished?

I strongly urge the City Council to listen to its community and put an end to the Residential Tenancy Ordinance.

As housing providers, we are proud to provide homes for local families and work closely with our residents to meet their needs. The notion that we need new, strict rental housing regulations is beyond comprehension. We do not need to add layers of regulations and bureaucracy to California's existing Tenant Protection Act (AB 1482). Your proposal only causes further confusion and harm to all members of the community.

Sincerely,

[REDACTED] ros
[REDACTED]